

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/830,112	04/23/2004	Jan R. Westra	1875.4860001/RES/GSB	9023	
26111 7	7590 12/15/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			JONES, ST	JONES, STEPHEN E	
	1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2817		
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/830,112	WESTRA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen E. Jones	2817
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 (2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 April 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bureation of the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/830,112 Page 2

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 Applicant's election without traverse of Group I and species IV in the reply filed on 10/26/05 is acknowledged.

2. Claim 12 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 10/26/05.

Drawings

3. Figures 1-2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/830,112 Page 3

Art Unit: 2817

5. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 8, the phrase "the first and second resistor ladders" lacks antecedent basis.

Also, in claim 9, the phrase "the resistive ladders" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 9 (insofar as claims 8-9 could be understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Applicant's admitted prior art (e.g. Fig. 2) (AAPA).

The AAPA teaches a programmable gain attenuator including: two resistor ladders each having 1 X N matrix; some of the switches are turned on; a termination resistor (e.g. R2) (Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9); and the switches can be grouped to be considered sub-matrices (e.g. switches M1b-M3b and M4b-M6b) (Claims 3, 7).

Application/Control Number: 10/830,112

Art Unit: 2817

8. Claims 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by

Behzad.

Behzad (e.g. Fig. 22) teaches a programmable gain attenuator including: a termination resistor (e.g. R2217); a termination switch (e.g. SW6); a resistor ladder between the input and the termination (Claim 8); a plurality of switches formed in a 1 X N matrix and some of the switches are turned on (e.g. see page 6, section [0077]) (Claims 5, 9, 11) and the switches can be grouped to be considered sub-matrices (e.g. switches 2221-2225 and 2227-2231) (Claim 7); Figs. 2 and 3 show that the attenuator having a control circuit that can have course and fine logic switches (16, 14) in the matrix and a multiplexer (e.g. 224) (Claims 6, 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/830,112

Art Unit: 2817

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

11. Claims 2, 6, and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (e.g. Fig. 2) (AAPA) in view of Behzad.

AAPA teaches an attenuator as described above, but does not explicitly teach that that the matrices include fine and course matrices which are controlled using fine and course selection logic through a multiplexer.

As described above, Behzad teaches using the attenuator in a transceiver including a control system including fine and course logic control including a multiplexer.

It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the AAPA attenuator to have included a control system such as taught by Behzad, because it would have provided the advantageous benefit of precision impedance control for the well-known application of the attenuator in a transceiver, such as suggested by Behzad.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen E. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-1762. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 AM to 5 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/830,112

Art Unit: 2817

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal can be reached on 571-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SEJ